

Vital Exhaustion, Burnout, and Other Avatars of Depression

“... a science that ignores the mandate for parsimony cannot advance its knowledge base and achieve cumulative knowledge. The purpose of science is to uncover the relatively simple deep structure principles or causes that underlie the apparent complexity observed at the surface structure level... and this is essentially impossible if the mandate for parsimony is not observed.”

Le, Schmidt, Harter, and Lauver (1) (p. 112)

Frestad and Prescott (2) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of 13 prospective and 3 case-control studies dedicated to the impact of vital exhaustion (VE) (3) on coronary heart disease (CHD). The authors concluded that VE is an *independent* risk factor for incident CHD and heart failure. In our estimation, these conclusions require a more cautious interpretation.

Because depression has been identified as a risk factor for CHD (4–6) and VE has been found to overlap with depression (7–10), depression constitutes a plausible confounder in VE research. Unfortunately, depression was not assessed in most studies included in the systematic review and meta-analysis of Frestad and Prescott (2). This lacuna was mentioned in several of the included studies as a limitation (11–13). In one of the included studies (14), a measure of “negative self-concept” was employed but other depressive symptoms were left unmeasured. Remarkably, in the only included study in which depression (assessed with the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression scale) was analyzed as a potential confounding variable, VE no longer predicted CHD when depressive symptoms were adjusted for (15). All in all, this state of affairs undermines Frestad and Prescott's conclusions (2). Most probably, VE is *not* associated with incident CHD or heart failure independently of depression (15) because of a problematic overlap of VE with depression (10).

It should be underscored that VE-depression overlap is no surprise in view of the items of the Maastricht Questionnaire (3)—the most widely used measure of VE. Indeed, the items of the Maastricht Questionnaire conspicuously refer to pervasive fatigue and loss of energy, sleep disturbance, cognitive impairment, death wishes, feelings of helplessness, apathy, and hopelessness, irritability, loss of libido, and lowered mood. Most of these symptoms reflect established diagnostic criteria for major depressive disorder and/or persistent depressive disorder (dysthymia), and *all* of them constitute well-identified manifestations of subclinical and/or clinical forms of depression (16–18). It has been argued that VE differs from depression in that (a) VE is primarily characterized by lack of energy or fatigue and (b) sad mood in VE reflects a demoralization rather than a lowered self-esteem (19). Such arguments do not resist a close examination. First, lack of energy and fatigue often dominate the clinical picture of depression “with atypical features”—a frequently encountered depressive syndrome (20). Second, demoralization is characterized by feelings of impotence, isolation, and despair (21). These feelings are characteristic of depression (16,17).

In our view, there is a worrying tendency in psychology and medicine to let proliferate “depression-like” constructs—a transgression of the scientific canon of parsimony (1). The problem is not

limited to VE. Burnout, a condition akin to VE (2,22,23), has been shown to problematically overlap with depression (24,25). Compassion fatigue, a condition that shows particularly blurred definitional contours, is also uncomfortably close to depressive symptomatology (26). The construct of neurasthenia may be part of this confusing trend as well (27–29), although neurasthenia has been elevated to the status of nosological category in the International Classification of Diseases (22). Construct proliferation jeopardizes knowledge growth by undermining theory building and transdisciplinary communication (1). Instead of multiplying unnecessary variations on the theme of depression, we think that researchers should work at better coordinating dimensional and categorical approaches to depression. By enhancing such a coordination, researchers would allow themselves to better take into account both subclinical forms of depression and clinical subtypes of depression (e.g., depression with melancholic features, depression with atypical features) in their empirical and theoretical analyses. Researchers who assume that VE, burnout, compassion fatigue, or neurasthenia lie outside the spectrum of depression should systematically adjust for depressive symptoms in their statistical analyses to corroborate their beliefs.

RENZO BIANCHI, PhD

University of Neuchâtel
Institute of Work and Organizational Psychology
Neuchâtel, NE
Switzerland

IRVIN SAM SCHONFELD, PhD, MPH

The City College of the City University of New York
Department of Psychology
New York City, NY

ERIC LAURENT, PhD

Bourgogne Franche-Comté University
Laboratory of Psychology
Besançon
France

Source of Funding and Conflicts of Interest: The authors report no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Le H, Schmidt FL, Harter JK, Lauver KJ. The problem of empirical redundancy of constructs in organizational research: an empirical investigation. *Organ Behav Hum Decis Process* 2010;112:112–25.
2. Frestad D, Prescott E. Vital exhaustion and coronary heart disease risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychosom Med* 2017;79:260–72.
3. Appels A, Höppener P, Mulder P. A questionnaire to assess premonitory symptoms of myocardial infarction. *Int J Cardiol* 1987;17:15–24.
4. Goldston K, Baillie AJ. Depression and coronary heart disease: a review of the epidemiological evidence, explanatory mechanisms and management approaches. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2008;28:288–306.
5. Penninx BW. Depression and cardiovascular disease: epidemiological evidence on their linking mechanisms. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2017;74(Pt B):277–86.
6. Rugulies R. Depression as a predictor for coronary heart disease: a review and meta-analysis. *Am J Prev Med* 2002;23:51–61.
7. Fielding R. Depression and acute myocardial infarction: a review and reinterpretation. *Soc Sci Med* 1991;32:1017–28.
8. Smith OR, Gidron Y, Kupper N, Winter JB, Denollet J. Vital exhaustion in chronic heart failure: symptom profiles and clinical outcome. *J Psychosom Res* 2009;66:195–201.
9. Vroege EM, Zuidersma M, de Jonge P. Vital exhaustion and somatic depression: the same underlying construct in patients with myocardial infarction? *Psychosom Med* 2012;74:446–51.

10. Wojciechowski FL, Strik JJ, Falger P, Lousberg R, Honig A. The relationship between depressive and vital exhaustion symptomatology post-myocardial infarction. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2000;102:359–65.
11. Cené CW, Loehr L, Lin FC, Hammond WP, Foraker RE, Rose K, Mosley T, Corbie-Smith G. Social isolation, vital exhaustion, and incident heart failure: findings from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2012;14:748–53.
12. Rod NH, Andersen I, Prescott E. Psychosocial risk factors and heart failure hospitalization: a prospective cohort study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2011;174: 672–80.
13. Schnohr P, Marott JL, Kristensen TS, Gyntelberg F, Grønbaek M, Lange P, Jensen MT, Jensen GB, Prescott E. Ranking of psychosocial and traditional risk factors by importance for coronary heart disease: the Copenhagen City Heart Study. *Eur Heart J* 2015;36:1385–93.
14. Bagés N, Appels A, Falger PR. Vital exhaustion as a risk factor of myocardial infarction: a case-control study in Venezuela. *Int J Behav Med* 1999;6: 279–90.
15. Lundgren O, Garvin P, Jonasson L, Andersson G, Kristenson M. Psychological resources are associated with reduced incidence of coronary heart disease. An 8-year follow-up of a community-based Swedish sample. *Int J Behav Med* 2015;22:77–84.
16. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 5th ed. Washington, DC: Author; 2013.
17. Beck AT, Alford BA. *Depression: Causes and Treatment*, 2nd ed. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press; 2009.
18. Judd LL, Schettler PJ, Coryell W, Akiskal HS, Fiedorowicz JG. Overt irritability/anger in unipolar major depressive episodes: past and current characteristics and implications for long-term course. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2013;70:1171–80.
19. Appels A, Mulder P. Fatigue and heart disease. The association between ‘vital exhaustion’ and past, present and future coronary heart disease. *J Psychosom Res* 1989;33:727–38.
20. Quitkin FM. Depression with atypical features: diagnostic validity, prevalence, and treatment. *Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry* 2002;4:94–9.
21. Frank JD. Psychotherapy: the restoration of morale. *Am J Psychiatry* 1974;131:271–4.
22. World Health Organization. *International Classification of Diseases*. 10th ed. Geneva, Switzerland: Author; 1992.
23. Melamed S, Shirom A, Toker S, Berliner S, Shapira I. Burnout and risk of cardiovascular disease: evidence, possible causal paths, and promising research directions. *Psychol Bull* 2006;132:327–53.
24. Ahola K, Hakanen J, Perhoniemi R, Mutanen P. Relationship between burnout and depressive symptoms: a study using the person-centred approach. *Burn Res* 2014;1:29–37.
25. Bianchi R, Schonfeld IS, Vandel P, Laurent E. On the depressive nature of the “burnout syndrome”: a clarification. *Eur Psychiatry* 2017;41:109–10.
26. Sinclair S, Raffin-Bouchal S, Venturato L, Mijovic-Kondejewski J, Smith-MacDonald L. Compassion fatigue: a meta-narrative review of the healthcare literature. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2017;69:9–24.
27. Angst J, Ajdacic-Gross V, Rössler W. Two-week neuroathletic major depression. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2016;266:737–41.
28. Gamma A, Angst J, Ajdacic V, Eich D, Rössler W. The spectra of neuroathletic and depression: course, stability and transitions. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2007;257:120–7.
29. Murck H. Atypical depression spectrum disorder - neurobiology and treatment. *Acta Neuropsychiatr* 2003;15:227–41.

Vital Exhaustion and Depression: A Reply to Bianchi and Colleagues

We thank Drs. Bianchi, Schonfeld, and Laurent for their interest in our recent review and for contributing with an insightful critical analysis on the complex relationship between two psychological concepts: vital exhaustion (VE) and depression. The authors have questioned whether there is a well-documented difference between the concepts, referring to the debate on whether VE plays an independent role as psychosocial risk factors for coronary heart disease (CHD).

We agree that a considerable overlap between depression and VE is likely. Conceptually, however, they differ. VE is a psychological construct, characterized by a set of symptoms constituting the items of the Maastricht Questionnaire and is conceptually perceived as a response to prolonged psychological distress. Importantly, there is no consensus regarding a cut-off value for “true”

exhaustion. Depression, on the other hand, is psychopathology with very specific diagnostic criteria. Despite its etiological heterogeneity, several neurobiological theories have been proposed as the pathophysiology behind depression (1). Even though characteristics of somatic depression and VE are overlapping in terms of symptoms, the scales do not describe the same entity (2–4). Patients who are vitally exhausted do not necessarily meet the criteria for depression and vice versa. There are few studies of this overlap, one suggesting that VE mainly overlaps with the somatic/affective dimension of depression (5). In addition, differences in the relationship of VE and depression to CHD risk factors are also consistent with making a distinction between VE and depression (6).

Although a vast literature documents a positive association between depression and CHD, the role of depression as an independent etiological and prognostic factor in CHD remains controversial (7). A meta-analysis based on 21 etiological and 34 prognostic studies concluded that incomplete and biased reporting of adjustment for traditional cardiovascular risk factors and the severity of coronary disease in published literature may contribute to inflated risk estimates. Should studies of the association between VE and outcome be adjusted for depression? One might also argue that association between depression and CHD should be adjusted for VE. The selection of covariates in multivariable models is complex, and methodological recommendations are that these choices should be guided by theoretical principles (8).

Whether VE captures “subclinical forms of depression” or “clinical subtypes of depression” is yet to be investigated, nevertheless, it can be applied to patients who do not fulfill the diagnostic criteria for “major depressive disorder and/or persistent depressive disorder (dysthymia).” The aim of this meta-analysis and review was to summarize the existing published literature on VE and its role in incident and recurrent CHD. The study conclusion remains unchanged, and existing published research suggests that VE is associated with a significantly increased risk of fatal and nonfatal CHD. We did not conclude that associations between VE and outcome were independent of measures of depression. The link between VE and CHD is complex and difficult to evaluate objectively because of variability in the use of quantitative assessment methods.

We hope that our meta-analysis and review will result in new research on the assessment of VE, biological, and behavioral mechanisms that play a role in the predictive value of VE for adverse cardiovascular outcomes. These studies may lead to a better understanding of the pathological pathways involved in VE that may ultimately result in novel interventions targeted at reducing cardiovascular risk.

DARIA FRESTAD, MD

Department of Cardiology
Bispebjerg Hospital
Hvidovre Hospital
University of Copenhagen
Copenhagen, Denmark

EVA PRESCOTT, MD, DMSc

Department of Cardiology
Bispebjerg Hospital
University of Copenhagen
Copenhagen, Denmark